

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/579,825 | FINSINGER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner KRISTIN BIANCHI | Art Unit 1626 | |

All Participants:(1) KRISTIN BIANCHI.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Brion P. Heaney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the case had claims which were in condition for allowance (i.e., no prior art was found) and asked if the method claims could be cancelled by way of examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to having the method claims cancelled.